arXiv:1401.4363v3 [math.CO] 10 Sep 2014

Maxima of the Q-index: forbidden odd cycles

Xiying Yuan*†

September 11, 2014

Abstract

Let $q(G)$ be the Q-index (the largest eigenvalue of the signless Laplacian) of G. Let $S_{n,k}$ be the graph obtained by joining each vertex of a complete graph of order k to each vertex of an independent set of order $n-k$. The main result of this paper is the following theorem:

Let $k \geq 3$, $n \geq 110k^2$, and G be a graph of order n. If G has no C_{2k+1}, then $q(G) < q(S_{n,k})$, unless $G = S_{n,k}$.

This result proves the odd case of the conjecture in [M.A.A. de Freitas, V. Nikiforov, and L. Patuzzi, Maxima of the Q-index: forbidden 4-cycle and 5-cycle, *Electron. J. Linear Algebra* 26 (2013), 905-916.]

AMS classification: *15A42, 05C50*

Keywords: *signless Laplacian; Q-index; forbidden odd cycles.*

1 Introduction

Let $G = (V(G), E(G))$ be a simple graph. Denote by $\nu(G)$ the order of G, and $e(G)$ the size of G, that is to say, $\nu(G) = |V(G)|$, and $e(G) = |E(G)|$. Set $\Gamma_G(u) = \{v \mid uv \in E(G)\}$, and $d_G(u) = |\Gamma_G(u)|$; or simply $\Gamma(u)$ and $d(u)$, respectively. Let $\delta(G)$ and $\Delta(G)$ denote the minimal degree and maximal degree of graph G, respectively. As usual, we may let P_k, C_k, and K_k be the path, cycle, and complete graph of order k, respectively. Let $S_{n,k}$ be the graph obtained by joining each vertex of a K_k to each vertex of a $\overline{K}_{n-k}$; in other words, $S_{n,k} = K_k \vee \overline{K}_{n-k}$. Also, let $S^+_{n,k}$ be the graph obtained by adding an edge to $S_{n,k}$. For notation and concepts undefined here, we refer the reader to [3].

The Q-index of G is the largest eigenvalue $q(G)$ of its signless Laplacian $Q(G)$. For more basic facts about $q(G)$, we refer the reader to [4]. The following conjecture has been proposed in [8].

Conjecture 1 *Let $k \geq 2$ and let G be a graph of sufficiently large order n. If G has no C_{2k+1}, then $q(G) < q(S_{n,k})$, unless $G = S_{n,k}$. If G has no C_{2k+2}, then $q(G) < q\left(S^+_{n,k}\right)$, unless $G = S^+_{n,k}$.*

In [9], Conjecture 1 was solved asymptotically by the following results.

Theorem 2 *[9] Let $k \geq 2$, $n > 6k^2$, and let G be a graph of order n. If $q(G) \geq n + 2k - 2$, then G contains a cycle of length l for each $l \in \{3, 4, \ldots, 2k+2\}$.*

There are many helpful guidance provided in [9] for solving Conjecture 1. By following the clue provided in [9] and by some analysis we will give the complete solution for the odd case of Conjecture 1. More precisely, we will prove the following result.

Theorem 3 *Let $k \geq 3$, $n \geq 110k^2$, and let G be a graph of order n. If G has no C_{2k+1}, then $q(G) < q(S_{n,k})$, unless $G = S_{n,k}$.*

*Department of Mathematics, Shanghai University, Shanghai, 200444, China; email: *xiyingyuan2007@hotmail.com*

†Research supported by National Science Foundation of China (No. 11101263), and by a grant of "The First-class Discipline of Universities in Shanghai".

2 Some auxiliary results

First we state some well-known results, which will be used in the following proofs.

Lemma 4 *[7]Let $k \geq 1$. If G is a graph of order n, with no P_{k+2}, then $e(G) \leq kn/2$, with equality holding if and only if G is a union of disjoint copies of K_{k+1}.*

Lemma 5 *[7]Let $k \geq 2$. If G is a graph of order n, with no cycle longer than k, then $e(G) \leq k(n-1)/2$.*

Let $c(G)$ denote the circumference, i.e., the size of a longest cycle of G. The following result is one case of Dirac theorem (see [6]).

Lemma 6 *Let G be a graph with $\delta(G) \geq 2$. Then $c(G) \geq \delta(G) + 1$ holds.*

To state the next result set $L_{t,k} := K_1 \vee tK_k$, i.e., $L_{t,k}$ consists of t complete graphs of order $k+1$, all sharing a single common vertex. In [2], Ali and Staton gave the following stability result.

Lemma 7 *Let $k \geq 1$, $n \geq 2k+1$, G be a graph of order n, and $\delta(G) \geq k$. If G is connected, then $P_{2k+2} \subseteq G$, unless $G \subseteq S_{n,k}$, or $n = tk+1$ and $G = L_{t,k}$.*

For the proof we also need the following two upper bounds on $q(G)$.

Lemma 8 *[10]For every graph G, we have*

$$q(G) \leq \max_{u \in V(G)} \left\{ d(u) + \frac{1}{d(u)} \sum_{v \in \Gamma(u)} d(v) \right\}.$$

Lemma 9 *[5]If G is a graph with n vertices and m edges, then*

$$q(G) \leq \frac{2m}{n-1} + n - 2.$$

Now we will begin our analysis. In [9] (see Proposition 2 of [9]), it was pointed out that when $k \geq 2$ and $n > 5k^2$,

$$q(S_{n,k}) > n + 2k - 2 - \frac{2k(k-1)}{n+2k-3} > n + 2k - 3. \tag{1}$$

Then for a graph G with $q(G) \geq q(S_{n,k})$, we have

$$n + 2k - 2 - \frac{2k(k-1)}{n+2k-3} < q(S_{n,k}) \leq q(G) \leq \frac{2e(G)}{n-1} + n - 2,$$

which implies

$$2e(G) > 2k(n-1) - 2k(k-1) + \frac{4k(k-1)^2}{n+2k-3}.$$

Noting that $2e(G)$ is even, $2k(n-1) - 2k(k-1)$ is also even, and $0 < \frac{4k(k-1)^2}{n+2k-3} < 1$, and then we have

$$2e(G) \geq 2k(n-1) - 2k(k-1) + 2,$$

i.e.,

$$e(G) \geq kn - k^2 + 1. \tag{2}$$

Given a graph G, write $G[X]$ for the graph induced by X, when $X \subseteq V(G)$, and $e(X)$ for the number of edges in graph $G[X]$, and $e(X,Y)$ for the number of edges joining vertices in X to vertices in Y, where X and Y are disjoint sets of $V(G)$. For a vertex $u \in V(G)$, the following fact was pointed out in [8].

$$\sum_{v \in \Gamma(u)} d(v) = 2e(\Gamma(u)) + e(\Gamma(u), V(G) \setminus \Gamma(u)),$$

which is crucial in the proof of Lemma 10.

Lemma 10 *Let $k \geq 2$, $n \geq 8k^2$, and let G be a graph of order n. If G has no C_{2k+1} and $q(G) \geq q(S_{n,k})$, then $\Delta(G) = n-1$.*

Proof Let w be a vertex of G such that

$$d(w) + \frac{1}{d(w)} \sum_{i \in \Gamma(w)} d(i) = \max_{u \in V(G)} \left\{ d(u) + \frac{1}{d(u)} \sum_{v \in \Gamma(u)} d(v) \right\}.$$

Lemma 8 implies that

$$q(G) \leq d(w) + \frac{1}{d(w)} \sum_{i \in \Gamma(w)} d(i).$$

We shall show that if $d(w) < n-1$, then $q(G) < q(S_{n,k})$.

Set $A = \Gamma(w)$, $B = V(G) \setminus (\Gamma(w) \cup \{w\})$; the assumption $d(w) < n-1$ implies that $B \neq \varnothing$. Note that $P_{2k} \nsubseteq G[A]$; hence Lemma 4 implies that

$$2e(A) \leq (2k-2)|A|. \tag{3}$$

Our first goal is to show that $|B| < 2k^2$. Indeed, we see that

$$q(S_{n,k}) \leq q(G) \leq d(w) + \frac{1}{d(w)} \sum_{i \in \Gamma(w)} d(i) = |A| + 1 + \frac{2e(A) + e(A,B)}{|A|}. \tag{4}$$

If a vertex of B is joined to every vertex of A, then $G[A]$ does not contain a P_{2k-1}, as otherwise $C_{2k+1} \subset G$. Hence, Lemma 4 implies that $2e(A) \leq (2k-3)|A|$ and from (4) we see that

$$q(S_{n,k}) \leq |A| + 1 + \frac{(2k-3)|A| + |A||B|}{|A|} = |A| + 1 + |B| + 2k - 3 = n + 2k - 3 < q(S_{n,k}).$$

This contradiction shows that no vertex of B is joined to all vertices of A; hence

$$e(A,B) \leq |B|(|A| - 1).$$

Now, inequalities (3) and (4) imply that

$$q(S_{n,k}) \leq |A| + 1 + \frac{(2k-2)|A| + |B|(|A|-1)}{|A|} = n + 2k - 2 - \frac{|B|}{n-1-|B|}.$$

Comparing this inequality to (1), after some algebra we find that $|B| < 2k^2$, as claimed.

Next, let A' be the set of all vertices in A that are joined to each vertex in B. Our next goal is to show that $|A'| \geq |A| - 2k^2$. Indeed, obviously

$$e(A,B) \leq |A'||B| + (|A| - |A'|)(|B| - 1) = |A||B| - |A| + |A'|.$$

Hence inequalities (3) and (4) imply that

$$q(S_{n,k}) \leq |A| + 1 + \frac{(2k-2)|A| + |A||B| - |A| + |A'|}{|A|} = n + 2k - 3 + \frac{|A'|}{|A|}$$

Comparing this inequality to (1), after some algebra we find that $|A'| > |A| - 2k^2$, as claimed.

Finally, let G_1 be the union of all components of $G[A]$ that contain a vertex from A', and let G_2 be the union of the remaining components of $G[A]$. As before we see that $e(G_2) \leq (k-1)v(G_2)$.

We claim that G_1 contains no cycle longer than $2k-3$. Indeed, if $C_s \subset G_1$ for some $s \geq 2k-2$, then $s \leq 2k-1$, as otherwise $P_{2k} \subset G(A)$, contradicting that $C_{2k+1} \nsubseteq G$. The definition of G_1 implies that there must be a vertex in A' that either belongs to C_s or can be joined to a vertex of C_s by a path

contained in G_1. In either of these cases we see that G_1 contains a path $P = P_{2k-2}$ with an endvertex belonging to A'. Since

$$|A'| \geq |A| - 2k^2 = n - 1 - |B| - 2k^2 > n - 1 - 4k^2 > 2k - 2,$$

we can extend P by a vertex in B and a vertex in A', thus obtaining a path $P_{2k} \subset G([A \cup B])$ with two endvertices belonging to A. Therefore $C_{2k+1} \subset G$, a contradiction showing that G_1 contains no cycle longer than $2k-3$. Hence, Lemma 5 implies that

$$2e(G_1) \leq (2k-3)\, v(G_1),$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} 2e(A) &= 2e(G_1) + 2e(G_2) \leq (2k-3)\, v(G_1) + (2k-2)\, v(G_2) \\ &= (2k-3)(|A| - v(G_2)) + (2k-2)\, v(G_2) = (2k-3)|A| + v(G_2) \\ &\leq (2k-3)|A| + |A| - |A'| < (2k-3)|A| + 2k^2. \end{aligned}$$

This inequality, together with (4), implies that

$$q(S_{n,k}) \leq |A| + 1 + \frac{(2k-3)|A| + 2k^2 + |A||B|}{|A|} = n + 2k - 3 + \frac{2k^2}{|A|}.$$

Comparing this bound with (1), we find that

$$1 - \frac{2k(k-1)}{n+2k-3} < \frac{2k^2}{|A|} \leq \frac{2k^2}{n-4k^2},$$

which is a contradiction for $n \geq 8k^2$. Therefore $B = \varnothing$ and $d(w) = n-1$, completing the proof of Lemma 10. □

Let w be a vertex of graph G. Then we write $G_w = G[V(G)\backslash\{w\}]$ for short. We would like to point out that the main idea of the following lemma comes from the Proof of Lemma 7 of [9].

Lemma 11 *Let $k \geq 3$, $n \geq 110k^2$, and let G be a graph of order n. If $G_w = G_1 \cup G_2$, where $V(G_1)$ and $V(G_2)$ are disjoint, $P_{2k} \nsubseteq G_1$, and $1 \leq \nu(G_2) \leq k^2 + k - 3$, then $q(G) < q(S_{n,k})$.*

Proof Write $\nu(G_2) = t$, then $1 \leq t \leq k^2 + k - 3$. Assume for a contradiction that $q(G) \geq q(S_{n,k})$. We may suppose that w is a dominating vertex of G, and G_2 is isomorphic to K_t, otherwise, we may add some edges to G, while $q(G)$ will not decrease. Denote by G_0 the the graph obtained from G by removing G_2. In view of $P_{2k} \nsubseteq G_1$, then by Lemma 4 we have

$$e(G_1) \leq (k-1)(n-t-1),$$

and then

$$e(G_0) = e(G_1) + n - t - 1 \leq k(n-t-1).$$

Lemma 9 implies that

$$q(G_0) \leq \frac{2k(n-t-1)}{n-t-1} + n - t - 2 = n + 2k - t - 2.$$

Let $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)^T$ be a unit eigenvector to $q(G)$. By symmetry the entries corresponding to vertices of G_2 have the same value, say x. From the eigenequations for $Q(G)$ we see that

$$(q(G) - n + 1)\, x_w = \sum_{i \in V(G)\backslash\{w\}} x_i \leq \sqrt{(n-1)(1-x_w^2)},$$

and noting that

$$q(G) \geq q(S_{n,k}) > n + 2k - 3,$$

so

$$x_w^2 \leq \frac{n-1}{(q(G)-n+1)^2+n-1} \leq \frac{n-1}{n-1+4(k-1)^2} < 1 - \frac{4(k-1)^2}{n+4k^2}. \tag{5}$$

Also from the eigenequations for $Q(G)$ we have

$$x = \frac{x_w}{q(G) - 2t + 1}.$$

Note that $q(G) > n + 2k - 3$ and $t \leq k^2 + k - 3$, we have

$$x \leq \frac{x_w}{n + 2k - 2t - 2} \leq \frac{x_w}{n - 2k^2 + 4}. \tag{6}$$

When $t \geq 1$, $n \geq 110k^2$, by using (5) and (6) we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
q(G) &= \sum_{ij \in E(G)} (x_i + x_j)^2 = \sum_{ij \in E(G_0)} (x_i + x_j)^2 + t(x + x_w)^2 + \sum_{ij \in E(G_2)} (x_i + x_j)^2 \\
&\leq q(G_0)\left(1 - tx^2\right) + t(x + x_w)^2 + 2t(t-1)x^2 \\
&< n + 2k - t - 2 + t\left(1 + \frac{1}{(n-2k^2+4)^2} + \frac{2}{n-2k^2+4} + \frac{2(t-1)}{(n-2k^2+4)^2}\right) x_w^2 \\
&< n + 2k - t - 2 + t\left(1 + \frac{3}{n-2k^2+4}\right)\left(1 - \frac{4(k-1)^2}{n+4k^2}\right) \\
&< n + 2k - 2 - \left(\frac{4(k-1)^2}{n+4k^2} - \frac{3}{n-2k^2+4}\right) \\
&< n + 2k - 2 - \frac{2k(k-1)}{n-2k^2+4} \\
&< q(S_{n,k}).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $q(G) < q(S_{n,k})$, and this contradiction completes the proof. □

We will call vertex v a *center vertex* of graph $S_{n,k}$, if $d(v) = n - 1$ holds.

Lemma 12 *Let G be a graph of order n. If $G_w = \cup_{i=1}^{t} S_{n_i,k-1}, k \geq 3$, and $t \geq 2$ hold, then we have $q(G) < q(S_{n,k})$.*

Proof We may suppose w is a dominating vertex of G, otherwise we may add some edges to G, and $q(G)$ will not decrease. We first consider $t = 2$, that is to say,

$$G_w = S_{n_1,k-1} \cup S_{n_2,k-1}.$$

Let $u_1, \cdots, u_{k-1}$ be all the center vertices of $S_{n_1,k-1}$, and $v_1, \cdots, v_{k-1}$ be all the center vertices of $S_{n_2,k-1}$. Let $\mathbf{x}$ be a unit eigenvector to $q(G)$. Then by symmetry we have $x_{u_1} = \cdots = x_{u_{k-1}}$, and $x_{v_1} = \cdots = x_{v_{k-1}}$. Without loss of generality we assume that $x_{u_1} \geq x_{v_1}$.

Now combine the components $S_{n_1,k-1}$ and $S_{n_2,k-1}$ into $S_{n_1+n_2,\ k-1}$, and let $u_1, \cdots, u_{k-1}$ be the center vertices of $S_{n_1+n_2,\ k-1}$. Denote by G' be the graph obtained from G by the above perturbation. Set

$$W = V(S_{n_2,\ k-1}) \setminus \{v_1, \cdots, v_{k-1}\}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} q(G') - q(G) &\geq \mathbf{x}^T Q(G')\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^T Q(G)\mathbf{x} \\ &= \sum_{i\in W} (k-1)\left[(x_{u_1}+x_i)^2 - (x_{v_1}+x_i)^2\right] + (k-1)^2 (x_{u_1}+x_{v_1})^2 - 2(k-1)(k-2)x_{v_1}^2 \\ &> 0. \end{aligned}$$

Noting that $G' = S_{n,k}$, then we have

$$q(G) < q(G') = q(S_{n,k}).$$

When $t \geq 3$, we may prove the lemma by using induction on t and applying the above perturbation to G repeatedly. □

Lemma 13 *Let G be a graph of order n with $e(G) \geq (k-1)n - \left(k^2-k-1\right)$. If $P_{2k} \nsubseteq G$, then there exists an induced subgraph H of G such that $\delta(H) \geq k-1$ and $\nu(H) \geq n - \left(k^2-k-1\right)$.*

Proof Define a sequence of graphs, $G_0 \supset G_1 \supset \cdots \supset G_r$ using the following procedure.

$G_0 := G$;
$i := 0$;
while $\delta(G_i) < k-1$ **do begin**
  select a vertex $v \in V(G_i)$ with $d(v) = \delta(G_i)$;
  $G_{i+1} := G_i - v$;
  $i := i+1$;
end.

Note that the whole loop must exit before $i = k^2-k$. Indeed, $P_{2k} \nsubseteq G_i$, Lemma 4 implies that

$$e(G_i) \leq (k-1)(n-i). \tag{7}$$

On the other hand,

$$e(G_i) \geq e(G) - i(k-2) \geq (k-1)n - \left(k^2-k-1\right) - i(k-2). \tag{8}$$

Then from (7) and (8) we have $i \leq k^2-k-1$. Let $H = G_r$, where r is the last value of the variable i, and then the proof is completed. □

3 Proof of Theorem 3

Proof of Theorem 3 Assume for a contradiction that $q(G) \geq q(S_{n,k})$. By virtue of Lemma 10 we suppose that w is a dominating vertex of G. Then from (2), we have

$$e(G_w) \geq (k-1)(n-1) - \left(k^2-k-1\right).$$

By taking G_w as the graph G in Lemma 13, we may obtain an induced subgraph H of G_w such that $\delta(H) \geq k-1$ and $\nu(H) \geq (n-1) - \left(k^2-k-1\right)$. Set

$$H = \cup_{i=1}^{t} H_i, \text{ and } \nu(H_i) = h_i,$$

where each H_i is a component of H. By virtue of Dirac theorem (see Lemma 6), $\delta(H_i) \geq k-1 \geq 2$ implies that $C_l \subseteq H_i$ for some $l \geq k$. Then any component of G_w contains at most one graph of $\{H_1, H_2, \cdots, H_t\}$

as an induced subgraph, otherwise $P_{2k+1} \subseteq G_w$ and then $C_{2k+1} \subseteq G$. Now for each $1 \leq i \leq t$, let F_i be the component of G_w, which contains H_i as an induced subgraph, and set

$$G_w = \left(\cup_{i=1}^{t} F_i\right) \cup F_0.$$

Obviously, $P_{2k} \nsubseteq F_i$ for any $0 \leq i \leq t$.

We claim that $F_0 = \emptyset$, otherwise

$$1 \leq \nu(F_0) \leq |V(G_w) \backslash V(H)| \leq k^2 - k - 1,$$

and then by Lemma 11 we obtain the contradiction $q(G) < q(S_{n,k})$. By the same token we claim that $h_i \geq 2k-1$ for each $1 \leq i \leq t$. Otherwise the order of the component F_i satisfies

$$k \leq h_i \leq \nu(F_i) \leq h_i + |V(G_w) \backslash V(H)| \leq 2k - 2 + k^2 - k - 1 = k^2 + k - 3.$$

Then by virtue of Lemma 11, we also obtain the contradiction $q(G) < q(S_{n,k})$.

Since $P_{2k} \nsubseteq H_i$ and $\delta(H_i) \geq k-1$, from Lemma 7, we deduce two cases for the structure of each H_i.

(a) $H_i \subseteq S_{h_i,k-1}$, and then

$$e(H_i) \leq (k-1)h_i - \frac{k(k-1)}{2}.$$

(b) $H_i = L_{p_i,k-1}$ with $h_i = p_i(k-1)+1$, and then

$$e(H_i) = \frac{k(h_i-1)}{2} < (k-1)h_i - \frac{k(k-1)}{2}.$$

We claim that $H_i \neq L_{p_i,k-1}$ with $h_i = p_i(k-1)+1$. Assume for a contradiction that some H_i is isomorphic to $L_{p_i,k-1}$ with $h_i = p_i(k-1)+1$, then

$$e(H) = \sum_{j=1}^{t} e(H_j) \leq (k-1)(\nu(H) - h_i) - \frac{k(k-1)}{2} + \frac{k(h_i-1)}{2}.$$

On the other hand, from the procedure of Lemma 13, we know that

$$e(H) \geq e(G_w) - (n-1-\nu(H))(k-2) \geq (k-1)(n-1) - \left(k^2-k-1\right) - (n-1-\nu(H))(k-2).$$

Therefore

$$(k-1)(n-1) - \left(k^2-k-1\right) - (n-1-\nu(H))(k-2) \leq (k-1)(\nu(H)-h_i) - \frac{k(k-1)}{2} + \frac{k(h_i-1)}{2},$$

which implies that $h_i < k+1$, and this is a contradiction to $h_i \geq 2k-1$. So each H_i is a subgraph of $S_{h_i,k-1}$.

For fixed i, assume now that I is the independent set of H_i of order $h_i-(k-1)$, and set $J = V(H_i) \backslash I$. Clearly, $\delta(H_i) \geq k-1$ implies that every vertex of I is joined to every vertex in J. Therefore, for any two vertices in I there exists a path of order $2k-1$ with them as endvertices. If u is a vertex in $V(F_i) \backslash V(H_i)$ and $\Gamma(u) \cap V(H_i) \neq \emptyset$, then we have $\Gamma_{F_i}(u) \subseteq J$, otherwise $P_{2k} \subseteq F_i$. Furthermore, for any vertex $v \in V(F_i) \backslash V(H_i)$ we may deduce that $\Gamma(v) \cap V(H_i) \neq \emptyset$, hence $\Gamma_{F_i}(v) \subseteq J$. Therefore $(V(F_i) \backslash V(H_i)) \cup I$ is an independent set of F_i, and then F_i is a subgraph of $S_{\nu(F_i),k-1}$. Thus

$$G_w = \cup_{i=1}^{t} F_i,$$

where each F_i is a subgraph of $S_{\nu(F_i),k-1}$. Note that $q(G)$ will not decrease when adding some edges to G, hence we may suppose that F_i is isomorphic to $S_{\nu(F_i),k-1}$. If $t \geq 2$, then by Lemma 12 we deduce the contradiction $q(G) < q(S_{n,k})$. If $t = 1$, we have $q(G) \leq q(S_{n,k})$ with equality holding if and only if $G_w = S_{n-1,k-1}$ and then $G = S_{n,k}$. □

Acknowledgement

The author would like to thank Prof. Vladimir Nikiforov for his kind encouragement and invaluable suggestions, and thank the referees for their careful reading and useful comments.

References

[1] N. M. M. de Abreu and V. Nikiforov, Maxima of the Q-index: graphs with bounded clique number, *Electronic J. Linear Algebra*, **26** (2013), 121-130.

[2] A.A. Ali and W. Staton, On extremal graphs with no long paths, *Electron. J. Combin.* **3** (1996), #R20.

[3] B. Bollobás, Modern Graph Theory, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 184, Springer-Verlag, New York (1998).

[4] D. Cvetković, Spectral theory of graphs based on the signless Laplacian, Research Report, (2010), available at: *http://www.mi.sanu.ac.rs/projects/signless_L_reportApr11.pdf.*

[5] K. Das, Maximizing the sum of the squares of the degrees of a graph, *Discrete Math* **285** (2004), 57-66.

[6] G. A. Dirac, Some theorems on abstract graphs, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* 2 (1952) 69-81.

[7] P. Erdős and T. Gallai, On maximal paths and circuits of graphs, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar* **10** (1959), 337–356.

[8] M.A.A. de Freitas, V. Nikiforov, and L. Patuzzi, Maxima of the Q-index: forbidden 4-cycle and 5-cycle, *Electron. J. Linear Algebra* 26 (2013), 905-916.

[9] V. Nikiforov, An asymptotically tight bound on the Q-index of graphs with forbidden cycles, *Publications De l'institut Mathématique Nouvelle série, tome* 95 (109) (2014),189-199.

[10] R. Merris, A note on Laplacian graph eigenvalues, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **295** (1998), 33-35.